



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 6018 of 2025

Chand Khan S/o Islam Khan Aged About 49 Years R/o Village Dashrangpur,
Tehsil Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - The State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Chhattisgarh, Ground Floor, Sector-19, North Block, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Collector Kawardha, District - Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

3 - Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue) Kawardha, District - Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

4 - Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Kawardha, District - Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

5 - Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Kawardha, District - Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

18/11/2025	<p>Mr. Mateen Siddiqui, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, Govt. Advocate for the State.</p> <p>Heard on admission.</p> <p>Issue notice to respondents.</p> <p>Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, Govt. Advocate accepts notice for</p>

respondents No. 1 to 3. Hence, PF is not required to be paid for issuance of notice to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No. 1 to 3 prays for and is granted 2 weeks time to file reply.

Issue notice to respondents No. 4 & 5 on payment of PF as per rules. Notices be made returnable in three weeks.

Also heard on IA No.1, which is an application for grant of interim relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat had directed for preliminary inquiry against the petitioner on the works done by village panchayat. A team of inquiry officers was constituted and after conducting inquiry, inquiry team submitted its report in which members who conducted inquiry have not reported about any recovery to be made from petitioner. He contended that subsequent thereto, another inquiry was directed by the Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Kabirdham by appointing another team of inquiry, which submitted its report mentioning recoverable amount from petitioner (the than Sarpach) and Secretary as Rs.9,07,723/-. Second inquiry on the same facts is not permissible.

On due consideration of submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that further proceedings pursuant to letter dated 03.11.2025 (Annexure P-1) shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

List this case in the week commencing **15th December 2025**.

Sd/-
(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
Judge